#### **ATTACHMENT I-1**

# (Plaintiff's Proposed Special Verdict Form)

Answer the questions below in the order they are presented.

<b>A.</b>	LIAB	ILITY	Υ.		
	1. Studio Defend	s LLC			against Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant iff's DEFAMATION claim? (Check one for
		As to	Defendant L	atasha	Kebe:
		Yes	(liable)	No	(not liable)
		As to	Defendant K	Lebe St	rudios LLC:
		Yes	(liable)	No	(not liable)
		s LLC		Plainti	against Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant iff's INVASION OF PRIVACY - FALSE befendant.)
		As to	Defendant L	atasha	Kebe:
		Yes	(liable)	No	(not liable)
		As to	Defendant K	Lebe St	rudios LLC:
		Yes	(liable)	No	(not liable)

Do you find for Plaintiff against Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, or both, on Plaintiff's INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRIESS claim? (Check one for each Defendant.)

As to	Defendant L					
Yes	(liable)	No	(not lia	able)		
As to	Defendant K	Kebe S	tudios L	LC:		
Yes	(liable)	No	(not lia	able)		
B. DAMAGE	S.					
				_	es, if any, that Plaintiffs have of the following categories:	
Pain and S	Suffering				\$	
Medical E	Medical Expenses				\$	
Other Dan Statements	nages for Def s	amato		Total	\$ \$	
					and Defendant Kebe Studios warded to Plaintiff?	
Yes		No				
to Question 5 is "	No," please a	ssign t	o each l	Defend	skip this question. If the answedant the percentage of fault, if The total must equal 100%.)	
Defe	ndant Latasha	a Kebe	» <b>:</b>		9⁄₀	
Defe	ndant Kebe S	tudios	LLC:			

# C. LEGAL FEES AND EXPENSES / PUNITIVE DAMAGES.

7. amount of wadditional q	which will be deter	sible award of attorneys' fees and expenses (the nined at a later time), please answer the following		
	C, or both, have ac Plaintiff unnecess	I that Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kebe ed in bad faith, have been stubbornly litigious, or ary trouble and expense? (Check one for each		
	As to Defendant 1	Latasha Kebe:		
	Yes	No		
	As to Defendant	Kebe Studios LLC:		
	Yes	No		
8. which will be questions:		sible award of punitive damages (the amount of ater time), please answer the following additional		
guilty of wi	at Defendant Latas	I that Plaintiff has proven by clear and convincing na Kebe, Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, or both, are talice, fraud, wantonness, oppression, or entire wan Defendant.)		
	As to Defendant	Latasha Kebe:		
	Yes	No		
	As to Defendant Kebe Studios LLC:			
	Yes	No		

	b.	Do you find to	hat Plaintiff l	has pr	oven by	clear and	convincing
lence tha	t Defe	ndant Latasha	Kebe, Defen	ıdant I	Kebe Stu	dios LLO	C, or both,
d with sp	pecific	intent to caus	e harm to Pla	aintiff	? (Check	one for e	each
endant.)							
ŕ							
	As to	Defendant Lat	tasha Kebe:				
	Vec	7	No				
	103	1		<del></del>			
	As to	Defendant Ke	be Studios L	LC:			
		_	_				
	Yes	1	No				
The fo	rener	son should sign	n and date thi	ic verd	lict form		
THE	repers	son should sign	i and date tin	is vere		•	
Forep	erson						
Date		<del></del>					
Daic							

#### **ATTACHMENT I-2**

# (Defendants' Proposed Special Verdict Form)

#### JURY VERDICT - SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES AND FINDINGS

# Plaintiff's Claims of Defamation (Counts I - III)

We, the jurors, unanimously answer as follows:

we, u	ne jurois, unamimousty	answer as follows.	
1.	Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one or more of the statements at issue are substantially false.		
	YES:	NO:	
	•	o the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the you can proceed to question 3.	
2.	statements were publ	n, by clear and convincing evidence, that the substantially false lished with actual malice that is, actual knowledge that the statements disregard as to their truth or falsity.	
	YES:	NO:	
		e your findings below. If you answer no, then your investigation of the you can proceed to question 3.	
		y, make the following findings regarding liability for Plaintiff's inswer for each Defendant):	
	As to Defendant Lata	asha Kebe:	
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)	
	As to Defendant Keb	pe Studios LLC:	
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)	

Please proceed to question 3.

### Plaintiff's Claim of Invasion of Privacy False Light (Count IV)

We, the jurors, unanimously answer as follows:

3.	Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one or more of the published statements at issue are nondefamatory.		
	YES:	NO:	
		o the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the ds and you can proceed to the instructions at the bottom of this page.	
4.		by a preponderance of the evidence, that the publicity depicted ht, which would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.	
	YES:	NO:	
		your findings below. If you answer no, then your investigation of the ds and you can proceed to the instructions at the bottom of this page.	
		nake the following findings regarding liability for Plaintiff's Invasion (Please answer for each Defendant):	
	As to Defendant Lata	asha Kebe:	
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)	
	As to Defendant Keb	e Studios LLC:	
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)	

Only if you found Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, or both, liable to Plaintiff for her Defamation and/or Invasion of Privacy claims, then you can proceed to question 5. If you found that neither Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for her Defamation and/or Invasion of Privacy claims, then your investigation ends.

# Plaintiff's Claim of Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Count V)

We, the jurors, unanimously answer as follows:

5.	-	en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct at issue was intiff and not at the public in general.
	YES:	NO:
Intent	•	o to the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the motional Distress claim ends and you can proceed to the instructions at
6.	Plaintiff has prove intentional or reck	n, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct at issue was less.
	YES:	NO:
Intent	-	o to the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the motional Distress claim ends and you can proceed to the instructions at
7.	outrageous in char	en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct at issue was so racter and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of garded as atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
	YES:	NO:
Intent		o to the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the motional Distress claim ends and you can proceed to the instructions at
8.		en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she has suffered emotional that no reasonable person could be expected to endure it.
	YES:	NO:
Intent		o to the next question. If you answer no, then your investigation of the motional Distress claim ends and you can proceed to the instructions at

9.	-	by a preponderance of the evidence, that the outrageous and extreme the cause of her severe emotional distress.
	YES:	NO:
Intent	•	e your findings below. If you answer no, then your investigation of the tional Distress claim ends and you can proceed to the instructions at
-		y, make the following findings regarding liability for Plaintiff's stional Distress claim (Please answer for each Defendant):
	As to Defendant Lata	asha Kebe:
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)
	As to Defendant Keb	e Studios LLC:
	YES:(liable)	NO:(not liable)

Only if you found Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, or both, liable to Plaintiff for one or more of her claims, then you can proceed to question 10. If you found that neither Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for any of her claims, then your investigation ends.

# **Damages**

We, the jury, unanimously, make the following findings regarding damages:

10.	Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she is entitled to recover actual monetary damages.
	YES: NO:
	If you answer yes, please specify the amount of Plaintiff's actual monetary damages:
	\$
11.	Plaintiff has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she is entitled to recover general damages for pain and suffering.
	YES: NO:
	If you answer yes, please specify the amount of Plaintiff's general damages:
	\$
12.	Plaintiff has proven that Defendant Latasha Kebe and Defendant Kebe Studios LLC are jointly and severally liable for the damages awarded to her, if any.
	YES: NO:
	If you answer no, please assign the percentage of fault, if any, you attribute to each Defendant for causing Plaintiff's damages. (The total must equal to 100%).
	Defendant Latasha Kebe:%
	Defendant Kebe Studios LLC:%

Please proceed to question 13.

# **Litigation Expenses and Punitive Damages**

13. Do you find that Defendant Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kebe Studios LLC, both, or neither,

We, the jurors, unanimously answer as follows:

	have acted in bad faith, have be trouble and expense? (Please	een stubbornly litigious, or have caused Plaintiff unnecessary answer for each Defendant).
	As to Defendant Latasha Keb	e:
	YES:	NO:
	As to Defendant Kebe Studio	s LLC:
	YES:	NO:
14.	Defendant Latasha Kebe, De misconduct, malice, fraud, wa	proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the actions of fendant Kebe Studios LLC, both, or neither, showed willful antonness, oppression, or that entire want of care which would scious indifference to consequence? (Please answer for each
	As to Defendant Latasha Keb	e:
	YES:	NO:
	As to Defendant Kebe Studio	s LLC:
	YES:	NO:
15.	Latasha Kebe, Defendant Kel	s proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendant be Studios LLC, both, or neither, acted with the specific intent lease answer for each Defendant).
	As to Defendant Latasha Keb	e:
	YES:	NO:
	As to Defendant Kebe Studio	s LLC:
	YES:	NO:

This	day of	, 20
Foreper	rson	